

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/816,627	03/23/2001	Peggy M. Stumer	2001P05288US	7108
7	590 12/17/2002			
Siemens Corporation Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator Intellectual Property Department			EXAMINER	
			RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR	
186 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2643	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/816,627

Applicant(s)

-----

Peggy M. Stumer et al.

Examiner

Melur. Ramakrishnaiah

Art Unit 2643



	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM			
THE I	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
mailing	g date of this communication.				
- If NO	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
<ul> <li>Failure</li> </ul>	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the oply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
earned	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status	= 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	1000			
1) 🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 24, 2				
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)   ✓ This action				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u>	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>15 and 16</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗔	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	ation Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the de				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.			
12)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
a) [	$\square$ The translation of the foreign language provisiona				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm					
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) X Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Dther:			

Application/Control Number: 09/816,627

Art Unit: 2643

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15-16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Machida (JP402216992A) in view of Tanaka et al. (US PAT: 6,243,442 B1, filed 12-19-1997, hereinafter Tanaka).

Regarding claims 15-16, Machida discloses method for processing an emergency call made from behind a PBX/MLTS, the method comprising the steps of: assigning priority within the PBX/MLTS to a call determined to be emergency call without interrupting any existing calls (fig. 1, see abstract).

Machida differs from claims 15-16 in that although he teaches use of PBX for identifying emergency situation by means of PB signal sent from an extension telephone as shown above, he does not explicitly teach the following: within the PBX/MLTS determining whether dialed digits represent an emergency number.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Machida's system to provide for the following: within the PBX/MLTS

Application/Control Number: 09/816,627

Art Unit: 2643

determining whether dialed digits represent an emergency number as this arrangement would provide an another method of identifying emergency call as taught by Tanaka, thus ensuring emergency call is identified properly for providing priority treatment.

3. Claims 1-14, are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (703) 305-1461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-9508.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application/Control Number: 09/816,627

Art Unit: 2643

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Melur. Ramakrishnaiah

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2643.